SECTION INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS, EUROPEAN INTEGRATION, FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

INTEGRATING HOFSTEDE'S INDIVIDUALISM–COLLECTIVISM DIMENSION INTO ENGLISH FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES: A FRAMEWORK FOR INTERCULTURAL SKILLS

Patrick LAVRITS[1], Daniela ISTODOR[1]
[1]*Department of Marketing, International Relations and Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, West University of Timisoara, Romania*
harald.lavrits@e-uvt.ro
daniela.istodor@e-uvt.ro

Abstract: *The globalization of professional communication has intensified the need for pedagogical approaches that connects language and culture in English for Specific Purposes teaching. This article proposes a comprehensive framework for integrating Geert Hofstede's individualism–collectivism cultural dimension into ESP teaching, with a particular focus on business students. It first outlines the theoretical framework of individualism and collectivism and their implications for communication styles, educational expectations, and workplace behaviors. The article then presents a range of practical tasks - including reading comprehension, case analysis, vocabulary matching, idiomatic interpretation, and multiple-choice exercises - designed to enhance both linguistic proficiency and intercultural competence. By using culturally sensitive teaching methods, this approach supports more meaningful learning and better prepares students for international professional settings.*

Keywords: *Intercultural Communication; English for Specific Purposes; Individualism–Collectivism; Cultural Awareness; Globalization*

JEL Classification: *Z13*

1. Introduction

The increasing globalization of professional communication has placed the relationship between language and culture at the center of English for Specific Purposes teaching. As learners engage more frequently in multicultural and international contexts, the development of intercultural communicative competences has become essential. Among the most influential models for examining cultural differences is Geert Hofstede's framework of cultural dimensions, particularly the individualism–collectivism cultural dimension. This dimension offers an important analytical tool for understanding how social orientation influences behavior, values, communication, and practices. In individualistic cultures, personal autonomy and self-expression are central, while collectivistic cultures emphasize

more social harmony, group loyalty, and interdependence. These differences have far-reaching implications not only for interpersonal interactions but also for pedagogical approaches in the ESP classroom, particularly in fields such as business, economics, and international relations.

This article explores how Hofstede's individualism–collectivism dimension can be methodologically integrated into ESP teaching through a series of linguistically meaningful and culturally adaptive activities. It offers a comprehensive overview of the theoretical frame, followed by practical applications - including reading-based tasks, case studies, vocabulary matching, idiomatic analysis, and structured response formats such as multiple-choice questions. Each task is assessed in terms of its pedagogical value, cognitive demand, and cultural relevance, with particular emphasis on its contribution to learners' linguistic proficiency and intercultural awareness.

2. Theoretical Framework of Geert Hofstede's Individualism versus Collectivism

Geert Hofstede's cultural dimension of individualism versus collectivism (Hofstede, 2011: 97) provides a framework for understanding the extent to which individuals within a society are integrated into social groups. In individualistic societies, emphasis is placed on the rights, autonomy, and accomplishments of the individual. On the other hand, collectivistic cultures prioritize the goals and needs of the group, often emphasizing harmony, cooperation, and social cohesion.

Individualistic cultures, such as those in the United States and Western Europe, value personal freedom and self-determination. Individuals are encouraged to think independently, pursue personal goals, and define themselves based on individual traits. This cultural orientation fosters independence and self-reliance, though it may also result in reduced social cohesion and increased competition. On the other hand, collectivistic societies - commonly found in many Asian, African, and Latin American countries - encourage individuals to subordinate personal interests to group goals. Individuals perceive themselves as parts of a collective, such as an extended family or community. This fosters mutual interdependence, loyalty, and cooperation, but may also constrain individual autonomy and promote conformity to group norms.

According to Hofstede "individualism describes societies in which the ties between individuals are loose; everyone is expected to look after him- or herself and his or her immediate family." (Hofstede, 2011: 97). In these societies, values such as self-responsibility, independence, self-confidence, and self-actualization are strongly emphasized (Schugk, 2004: 115). Families are predominantly nuclear, typically composed of parents and one or two children. From an early age, children are learned to perceive themselves in terms of „I," emphasizing personal identity. In contrast, collectivistic societies are defined as: "Collectivism pertains to societies in which people from birth onward are integrated into strong, cohesive in-groups, which throughout people's lifetime continue to protect them in exchange for unquestioning loyalty." (Hofstede, 2011: 92). Collectivist family structures tend to be extended and include multiple generations. Children are raised with a strong

sense of belonging to a „we" group, which shapes their identity and provides social support. This generates a mutual relationship between the individual and the group, wherein loyalty and protection are expected.

Hofstede's individualism index ranks 76 countries and regions, with the United States (91), Australia (90), and the United Kingdom (89) scoring the highest in individualism. In contrast, countries such as Guatemala (6), Ecuador (8), and Panama (11) display strong collectivist tendencies. (Hofstede, 2011: 101-102) These rankings illustrate a general pattern: high-income nations often exhibit higher individualism scores, while lower-income countries tend to be more collectivist. Hofstede presumes that national wealth fosters individualism, though individualism itself is not necessarily a guarantee for economic prosperity (Treichel, Mayer, 2011: 245-246). Furthermore, countries characterized by high power distance often align with collectivist values, whereas those with low power distance are more likely to be individualistic (Treichel, Mayer, 2011: 246).

Hofstede also argues that geographical, economic, and historical factors contribute to the development of cultural orientations. For example, hunter-gatherer societies typically exhibit nuclear family structures, while agrarian communities often develop extended family systems. Urbanization tends to promote nuclear family structures and, consequently, more individualistic orientations. Despite modernization and industrialization, East Asian countries such as Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan maintain collectivist values rooted in Confucian teachings. Furthermore, geographic latitude correlates with individualism: populations in colder climates, where survival depends on personal initiative, tend to value independence. Larger populations are associated with greater power distance but do not necessarily predict collectivist values; however, higher fertility rates in poorer nations often contribute to collectivist socialization patterns (Ting-Toomey, 1999: 136).

Communication patterns also differ considerably: individualistic cultures rely on verbal interaction and perceive silence as uncomfortable, whereas collectivistic cultures value non-verbal presence and consider silence acceptable, or even respectful. Guilt and shame also function differently: individualistic cultures are guilt-oriented, whereas collectivistic cultures are shame-oriented. The concept of „losing face" is prevalent in collectivist societies and has no direct equivalent in many Western cultures. Communication in individualistic cultures is characterized by explicitness and assertiveness. Self-expression is a virtue, and the use of personal pronouns (e.g., „I") is frequent. In contrast, collectivist cultures use indirect, nuanced communication aimed at preserving social harmony. In these cultures, pronouns may be omitted, and symbolic language is more common. For example, verbal affirmations such as „yes" may indicate acknowledgment rather than agreement.

The individualism-collectivism dimension also applies to educational practices. In collectivist societies, education prioritizes group goals, cooperation, and respect for authority. Students are often reluctant to speak without direct prompting, and teachers are viewed as authority figures. Discipline is maintained through group-based mechanisms, such as shaming. On the other hand, individualistic educational systems emphasize critical thinking, independence, and personal achievement. Students are expected to utter their opinions and engage in open debates. Education is viewed as a lifelong process that enables individuals to adapt to new

challenges. Diplomas serve not only as markers of qualification but also as symbols of personal accomplishment. In a collectivist society, a diploma is an honor to the holder and entitles the holder to associate with members of higher-status groups.

In professional environments, this cultural dimension influences organizational structures and employee behaviors. Collectivistic workplaces emphasize loyalty and interpersonal relationships. Career choices often follow familial patterns, and hiring decisions may favor relatives to reduce risk. Termination of employment is less common, even in cases of underperformance, due to the emphasis on maintaining social bonds.

In individualistic workplaces, emphasis is placed on personal initiative, meritocracy, and contractual relationships. Employees are assessed based on individual performance, and job mobility is common. Nepotism is generally discouraged to avoid conflicts of interest and to maintain fairness and transparency, and professional relationships are guided by formal rules and obligations rather than personal ties (Hofstede, 2011: 129).

These differences extend also into marketing and advertising strategies. In individualistic societies, marketing often highlights individual benefits, personal freedom, and self-fulfillment. In collectivist societies, advertisements focus on group experiences, relationships, and shared benefits. For instance, while a car commercial in the United States may depict a lone driver enjoying freedom, an equivalent advertisement in Japan might highlight a family or group travelling together to symbolize unity and harmony. Hospitality and social inclusion are highly valued in collectivist cultures; thus, being alone may be perceived negatively. Effective marketing strategies must therefore be culturally sensitive, accounting for diverse communication styles and social norms (De Mooij, 2011: 178).

3. Individualism versus Collectivism within ESP Classrooms

In the context of English for Specific Purposes, particularly within faculties of economics, the methodical focus must extend beyond the traditional restrictions of vocabulary acquisition and grammatical accuracy. The primary objective of ESP training in this domain is to train students' ability to communicate effectively and appropriately in professional economic and business environments. This requires the integration of a broad range of abilities, including functional language use, critical thinking skills, and, moreover, intercultural communication awareness.

Given the increasingly multicultural structure of student groups in economics programs, it becomes essential for language trainers to design and implement pedagogical strategies that are responsive to the diverse cultural orientations of learners. Cultural values and social norms significantly influence educational expectations, learning behaviors, and communicative preferences. As expressed in Hofstede's model of cultural dimensions, individualism and collectivism provides a useful tool for anticipating how students from different cultural backgrounds may engage with ESP content and teaching methods.

Students originating from collectivistic cultures, such as those in East Asia, Latin America, and parts of Africa and the Middle East, often exhibit a preference for collaborative learning environments. In such contexts, knowledge is frequently

understood as socially constructed and relational, and learners may be more comfortable engaging in indirect forms of participation, such as group consensus-building and peer-supported tasks. Authority figures, such as teachers, are highly respected, and questioning or challenging them may be perceived as inappropriate or confrontational. Accordingly, students from collectivistic backgrounds may respond more positively to structured group activities, cooperative tasks, and teaching methods that emphasize harmony, shared responsibility, and face-saving communication.

On the other hand, students from individualistic societies - such as those in North America, Western Europe, and Australia - tend to value independence, self-expression, and personal achievement. Educational settings in such cultures often encourage competition, critical inquiry, and assertive communication. Learners from these contexts may prefer individualized assignments, opportunities for self-paced learning, and pedagogical frameworks that reward originality and initiative. They may also expect a more egalitarian classroom dynamic, in which teachers act as facilitators rather than authority figures.

Teachers must be mindful of culturally influenced perceptions of success, feedback, and assessment. While some students may welcome direct, critical feedback as a tool for personal development, others may interpret it as a threat to interpersonal harmony or personal dignity. In such cases, feedback may need to be delivered with sensitivity to cultural norms. A culturally responsive teaching approach not only supports language development but also fosters a more respectful and engaging learning environment, thereby increasing students' understanding of international professional contexts.

4. Types of Activities and Exercises

To effectively bridge cultural differences in the English for Specific Purposes classroom, teachers must develop activities that are both linguistically meaningful and culturally adaptable. Hofstede's individualism-collectivism dimension serves as a valuable pedagogical tool for designing such tasks. By doing so, instructors not only enhance students' language acquisition but also strengthen their intercultural communication competence, a critical skill in global business environments.

4.1. Reading & Analysis Activities
Reading and analysis-based tasks not only reinforce reading comprehension and specific vocabulary, but also train analytical skills. Within the context of Hofstede's cultural dimension of individualism versus collectivism, such tasks provide learners with opportunities to apply theoretical knowledge to authentic, real-world business scenarios.

Learners are presented with a variety of texts, including news reports, academic case studies, and journalistic analyses that describe cross-cultural business challenges such as failed mergers, international market exits, or internal team conflicts. Examples might include the dissolution of the Daimler-Chrysler partnership, Walmart's withdrawal from the German market, or cultural integration issues in the Renault-Nissan alliance (Meyer, 2014: 63). The selected texts should

contain either explicit or implicit references to culturally rooted misunderstandings, particularly those arising from different value systems linked to individualism (e.g., personal initiative, autonomy, self-assertion, decentralized decision-making) or collectivism (e.g., harmony, consensus, hierarchical communication).

Students read the article with the aim of identifying specific examples where cultural differences - particularly those related to autonomy, hierarchy, team dynamics, or communication - led to misunderstanding between business partners. Working individually or in pairs, they annotate key passages, extract relevant vocabulary, and prepare summaries. This activity encourages language development, particularly in reading comprehension and vocabulary acquisition (e.g., terms like synergy, consensus, top-down management, or decentralization), as well as intercultural awareness, through recognition of cultural value clashes, and critical thinking, by encouraging learners to assess the underlying causes and consequences of intercultural misunderstanding in business contexts.

4.2. Case Studies in Cross-Cultural Environments

When integrated with intercultural content such as Hofstede's cultural dimension of individualism versus collectivism, case studies serve as particularly effective instruments for developing both linguistic competence and intercultural awareness. A representative example involves an American manager working in Japan who, after observing outstanding performance by a team member, chooses to offer public praise during a group meeting. While the purpose was to provide positive reinforcement, the response from the rest of the team was marked by visible discomfort and unease, a reaction that surprised the manager.

From a methodological point of view, this case study is particularly valuable in ESP and serves as a stimulus for both linguistic output (discussion, analysis, presentation) and intercultural interpretation. The task is authentic and culturally significant - ideal for raising awareness of the individualism versus collectivism dimension as proposed by Hofstede.

In societies characterized by high levels of individualism, such as the United States, public recognition is generally regarded as a motivating force. It reflects the cultural values of individual achievement, competitiveness, and self-esteem, with praise viewed as a means of reinforcing personal performance. In contrast, in strongly collectivistic cultures such as Japan, group harmony, modesty, and social cohesion is extremely important. In such contexts, publicly praising an individual may result in embarrassment for the person being singled out, as well as for other team members. This may disturb group stability, as well as the norm of modesty and equality. The American manager's action, while culturally appropriate in his context, fails to consider the face-saving and group-oriented values of the Japanese workplace.

This case study supports the development of several competences. In terms of intercultural competence, learners gain insight into how leadership, communication, and motivational practices vary significantly across cultures. Critical thinking is enhanced through the assessment of behavior within culturally specific frameworks. Language development is supported through the acquisition of terminology related to workplace communication and managerial practice. From a teaching and methodological perspective, it exemplifies how the abstract principles of Hofstede's

cultural dimensions can be transferred to accessible, real-world situations, enhancing the learners' linguistic, cultural, and reflective capacities, and preparing them for effective participation in international business environments.

4.3. Matching Exercises - Linking Language with Cultural Behavior
Vocabulary acquisition is a fundamental part of English for Specific Purposes, especially in business contexts where language use is often tied to culturally embedded values, idiomatic expressions, and pragmatics. Vocabulary-focused activities can be used not only to expand learners' lexical range but also to foster cultural awareness and encourage critical reflection on how language encodes norms and worldviews.

Such an approach involves presenting students with a series of behaviors (e.g. *expressing disagreement in meetings, avoiding public criticism, prioritizing personal goals, face-saving, decisions are made by consensus* etc.) and requiring them to identify the cultural value each behavior reflects (i.e., individualist or collectivist), as well as an appropriate business context in which it might be used. For instance, the behavior "maintains group harmony during meetings, avoids direct confrontation" corresponds to the cultural value of collectivism and may be exemplified within East Asian management styles.

This type of activity promotes both receptive and productive vocabulary development within meaningful contexts, while simultaneously reinforcing learners' understanding of cultural behaviors and specific value systems. Moreover, it strengthens learners' ability to associate abstract cultural dimensions with concrete business practices.

To extend the activity, learners may be asked to justify their responses orally or in written form. This not only fosters critical thinking and argumentation skills but also deepens engagement with the topic. Additionally, by linking new vocabulary to prior knowledge and cultural frameworks, the activity facilitates the development of intercultural competences. Discussions that encourage students to compare these behaviors and values with those of their own cultures further promote reflective learning and cross-cultural insight.

4.4. Idioms and Cultural Metaphors
Learners explore idiomatic and cultural expressions that reflect the values associated with either individualism or collectivism. Examples of such expressions include "The squeaky wheel gets the grease," which reflects an individualist emphasis on proactive assertiveness, and "The nail that sticks out gets hammered down," which reflects a collectivist orientation toward social conformity. Other examples such as "Go it alone" and "Pull your own weight" contrast with collectivist expressions like "Do not bring shame to the group," further illustrating the linguistic encoding of cultural values.

This task increases awareness of idiomatic and metaphorical language, illustrates how cultural values are embedded in everyday expressions, and provides pragmatic language tools for authentic communication in business contexts. Through a contextualized approach to language teaching, learners analyze idioms as they appear in realistic situations, such as dialogues, excerpts from business cases, or authentic video materials. Students then write a short email, dialogue, or

role-play incorporating the idioms in business-related contexts. This activity fosters pragmatic competence, a skill often underrepresented in English for Specific Purposes teaching.

4.5. True/False Statements for Cultural Reflection

True/False statements represent a familiar and versatile exercise that can be adapted from simple comprehension checks to complex cultural awareness tools. In the context of teaching individualism vs. collectivism, T/F activities can be used not only to verify students' understanding of Hofstede's model but also to challenge assumptions, stimulate discussion, and activate critical thinking about cultural behaviors in business contexts.

While traditionally considered a lower-order task (e.g., simple recall), their pedagogical value is significantly enhanced when they are integrated with follow-up discussions, reasoning activities, and opportunities for cultural reflection. Thus, T/F activities contribute to the development of thinking skills, including analysis, justification, and evaluation. When applied to the teaching of the individualism-collectivism topic, T/F statements function not only as linguistic exercises but as instruments for showing the complex interrelationship between language, cultural values, and behavior in international business settings. Learners critically examine in this way how cultural orientations manifest in workplace communication, social expectations, and organizational norms.

The following is an example of a culturally embedded True/False statement: „Individualistic societies prioritize the needs and goals of the group over the rights and achievements of the individual". This is a false statement, because individualistic societies emphasize the rights, autonomy, and personal achievements of each individual rather than collective goals.

These examples highlight not only key distinctions between cultural orientations but also serve as an opportunity for deeper engagement with how cultural frameworks influence real-world behaviors in professional and educational settings.

4.6. Identifying Cultural Outliers

By identifying the culturally divergent country in a set of four, students are challenged to apply cultural theory to real-world geographical contexts. This activity facilitates critical thinking, vocabulary development, and intercultural sensitivity within English for Specific Purposes, particularly in business, international relations, and cross-cultural communication training.

Learners have to determine the "odd" country in each grouping based on its cultural orientation along the individualism–collectivism dimension. This approach offers an accessible yet intellectually stimulating way of connecting abstract cultural theory with practical cross-cultural knowledge. It not only reinforces students' understanding of cultural dimensions but also enhances their ability to analyze and classify countries using empirical cultural indices. The activity enhances intercultural competence, by prompting reflection on how national values differ, critical reasoning, by encouraging evaluation and justification of cultural classification, and lexical acquisition, as students engage with terminology related to cultural values and dimensions.

For each grouping, students are tasked with identifying the country that does not match in terms of individualism versus collectivism. They must then justify their selection using known or researched cultural data and reflect on the implications of these cultural orientations.

In the following example: "Great Britain, South Korea, Italy, and France", the correct response is South Korea, which is considerably more collectivist in comparison to the predominantly individualistic European countries. This process is repeated, reinforcing learning through varied application.

The language component of the task focuses on functional vocabulary related to cultural dimensions, including terms such as *individual autonomy*, *collective identity*, *self-expression*, and *group loyalty*. In addition, the task encourages the use of descriptive structures such as "Country X is more collectivist because…" and "Compared to Y, Z ranks lower on the individualism index," enabling students to articulate complex ideas with clarity and precision.

4.7. Cultural Framing in Advertising

Advertising, as a culturally embedded form of communication, mirrors the values, priorities, and relational norms of a certain society. It offers a valuable and authentic resource for examining cultural differences within the ESP classroom. In this context, students analyze several advertisement descriptions and assess whether they align more closely with individualistic or collectivistic values. This classification exercise serves as a bridge between abstract cultural theory and tangible real-world examples, promoting both linguistic competence and intercultural sensitivity. This activity enhances learners' awareness of implicit cultural cues embedded in advertising discourse, including language, visual elements, slogans, and persuasive appeals. By interacting with authentic or contextually realistic advertisement scenarios, students develop their capacity to interpret pragmatic meaning and to understand how persuasive strategies are culturally framed.

Learners are presented with several advertisement scenarios. Each includes a description featuring key themes, imagery, and slogans. Students must analyze the content, determine whether the advertisement targets an individualistic or collectivistic audience, and provide a justification for their classification. For example, a luxury car advertisement with the slogan "Live Your Own Adventure" emphasizes personal freedom, self-expression, and achievement, aligning with individualistic cultural values. On the other hand, an advertisement for a food delivery service depicting friends or family dining together, with the slogan "Dine Together, Anytime," reflects collectivistic values by highlighting group cohesion and shared experiences.

This activity engages learners at multiple cognitive and linguistic levels. Analytically, they interpret language and visual content to detect cultural orientation. They justify their interpretations using Hofstede's framework. Synthetically, they compare advertising strategies across cultural dimensions, developing a nuanced understanding of how culture informs communication. From a linguistic standpoint, the task facilitates lexical development around key concepts such as autonomy, togetherness, achievement, and success. It also promotes the use of functional language for justification, such as "This slogan reflects individualism because…,"

and encourages pragmatic competence through interpretation of implied meanings and cultural values.

As an extension, learners may design their own advertisements - either as visual posters or scripts - targeted toward an individualist or collectivist culture, and then present and justify the cultural framing of their advertisements.

The advertisement classification task offers a culturally grounded, methodologically sound approach to developing learners' cultural literacy and pragmatic awareness within an ESP framework. By engaging with authentic advertising discourse, students learn to decode implicit cultural messages and reflect on how values such as autonomy, community, and success are framed differently across cultures.

5. Conclusions

The integration of Hofstede's individualism–collectivism dimension into ESP teaching provides a powerful pedagogical framework for developing learners' linguistic skills alongside their intercultural competences. Through the targeted use of discourse analysis, case studies, vocabulary development tasks, and structured reflection, learners not only internalize language relevant to business and professional contexts but also gain critical insights into how cultural values shape communication, behavior, and institutional norms. These activities support key educational goals: they facilitate meaningful language acquisition, promote higher-order thinking, and cultivate sensitivity to cultural diversity.

Incorporating culturally responsive teaching strategies enables teachers to address the diverse learning preferences and communicative expectations of both collectivist and individualist students. By aligning instructional design with intercultural theory, ESP teachers can more effectively prepare learners for the complexities of international collaboration. The systematic use of Hofstede's cultural dimensions, particularly individualism–collectivism, transforms the ESP classroom into a dynamic space for experiential learning, critical reflection, and the development of globally relevant communicative skills.

References

1. Gudykunst & Ting-Toomey (1988): *Culture and Interpersonal Communication*, SAGE Publications.

2. Gudykunst, William B. (2003), *Cross-Cultural and Intercultural Communication*, London: Sage Publication.

3. Gudykunst, William B., Young, Yun Kim (2003): *Communicating with Strangers. An Approach to Intercultural Communication*, 4. Auflage, New York: McGraw-Hill.

4. Hofstede, Geert (2011): *Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind*, New York: McGraw-Hill.

5. Istodor, Daniela, Lavrits, Patrick (2023): *Understanding Intercultural Communication: Cultures, Barriers and Concepts*, Timisoara, Mirton.

6. Jandt, Fred (2013): *An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. Identitites in a Global Community*, 7. Auflage, Thousand Oaks: Sage.

7. Lustig, Myron W., and Jolene Koester (2012): *Intercultural Competence: Interpersonal Communication Across Cultures*. Pearson.

8. Meyer, E., (2014): *The Culture Map*, PublicAffairs.

9. De Mooij, M., (2011): *Consumer Behavior and Culture: Consequences for Global Marketing and Advertising*, Sage.

10. Neuliep, W. James (2006): *Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach*, SAGE Publications.

11. Samovar, Larry (2001): *Communication between Cultures*, 4. Auflage, Wadsworth: Thompson Learning.

12. Schugk, Michael (2004): *Interkulturelle Kommunikation. Kulturbedingte Unterschiede in Verkauf und Werbung*, München: Vahlen.

13. Ting-Toomey, S., (1999): *Communicating Across Cultures*, The Guilford Press.

14. Tomalin, Barry (1993): *Cultural Awareness*, Oxford University Press.

15. Treichel, Dietmar und Mayer, Claude-Hélène (2011): *Lehrbuch Kultur. Lehr- und Lernmaterialien zur Vermittlung kultureller Kompetenzen*, Münster: Waxmann.

16. Verluyten, S. Paul (2002): *Intercultural Communication in Business and Organisations. An Introduction*, Leuven: Acco.