ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF WAR IN UKRAINE: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS

Mihai-Ciprian LUȘCAN

Babeș-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Marketing Department, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
ciprian.luscan@econ.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract: *The armed conflict that erupted in Ukraine has had profound economic ramifications, not only for the country itself but also for the wider region. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the economic consequences of the war in Ukraine, exploring its impact on various sectors and long-term development prospects. Drawing upon a range of primary and secondary data sources, the study presents a multifaceted assessment of the economic effects, considering both immediate and long-term implications. The paper examines the direct costs of the conflict, including the destruction of infrastructure, loss of human capital, and disruption of production and trade. It investigates the impact on key sectors such as agriculture, manufacturing, energy, and finance, highlighting the challenges faced by businesses and the labor market. It examines the role of external financial assistance and the effectiveness of economic policies implemented during the crisis. Finally, the study concludes by synthesizing the key findings on the economic consequences of the war in Ukraine. These recommendations encompass both short-term measures to address immediate challenges and long-term strategies to foster sustainable economic development and reconciliation. This comprehensive analysis of the economic consequences of the war in Ukraine contributes to the existing literature by providing a nuanced understanding of the multifaceted impacts of the conflict. It offers valuable insights for policymakers, international organizations, and researchers seeking to comprehend and address the economic challenges arising from war-affected regions.*

Keywords: war; Ukraine; economy; consequences.

JEL Classification: F00; F19; F50; F60.

Introduction

Ukraine has a broad, lower-middle-income economy that is located in Eastern Europe. It expanded substantially between the years 2000 and 2008, until the global Great Recession that affected the whole world hit Ukraine. Beginning with 2010, the Ukrainian economy recovered until 2013. After that, the economic situation was affected by the conflict with Russia that began in 2014. The conflict is having significant economic consequences for Ukraine, including: GDP contraction. As a result of the conflict, Ukraine's GDP contracted as industries were disrupted, commerce was hampered, and investor confidence was eroded. Trade disruptions: the war interrupted trade routes and economic relations with Russia, a key trading partner, and caused commerce to shift to other markets. Currency depreciation: the Ukrainian hryvnia suffered severe devaluation as a result of monetary instability and geopolitical disputes. Energy dependence: historically, Ukraine has depended on Russia for a significant percentage of its energy sources, especially natural gas. The dispute highlighted the importance of energy independence in order to lessen reliance on Russian supplies. Destruction of infrastructure: active military operations and fighting in specific parts of Ukraine have resulted in infrastructure destruction, including transportation systems and manufacturing enterprises. Population Displacement: the violence caused internal displacement, affecting the local economy and putting a burden on social assistance.

Foreign Direct Investment and Financial Flow: The crisis and attendant uncertainties reduced foreign direct investment as well as financial flow from Ukraine.
The armed conflict that erupted in Ukraine has had profound economic ramifications, not only for the country itself but also for the wider region. According to Ukraine's President, "nearly 12 million Ukrainians have become internally displaced. Over 5 million people went abroad" (”Speech by the President of Ukraine in the Chamber of Deputies of Luxembourg”, 2022). The economic consequence of the conflict is evident. Nevertheless, war costs include not just direct casualties but additionally lost revenue and prospects, as well as a reduction in growth potential. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the economic consequences of the war in Ukraine, exploring its impact on various sectors and long-term development prospects. The Russian invasion of Ukraine stunned worldwide politics and economies. Despite the fact that it is unclear how the conflict will unfold, it is evident that its consequences will be persistent and significant for societies all across the globe. While Russia may have anticipated an immediate and simple success, the conflict has now entered an increased attritional stage. Russia is unable to enforce its rules on Ukraine, and Ukraine is unable to remove russian soldiers from its territories. Amid ambiguity about how the conflict will unfold, the Ukrainian people are solidly unified (a mid-March poll done by Ukraine's Rating Group found that 93% of the people surveyed felt Ukraine would prevail, and 98% considered Russia a hostile state (”The fifth national poll: Ukraine during the war”, 2022). The Russian invasion of Ukraine, empowered by Russian President Vladimir Putin, brought to the fore certain challenges that Russia's economy has been experiencing for some time (particularly its reliance on carbon and minerals, as well as a continuous ”brain drain”). As an outcome of the invasion, many (though not all) nations and organizations are refraining from engaging in trade with Russia.
Numerous research studies, dating back to Keynes, indicate that conflict has long-term negative implications for the welfare of the communities engaged (Keynes, 1919). The repercussions of economic activity on long-term sustainability have been widely studied in recent years (Waiganjo, Godinic, Obrenovic 2021). Following Russia's invasion of Ukraine in February 2022, the United States, Europe, and a number of other nations implemented economic sanctions. Following the Cold War's closure, the measures that were enforced on Russia were considered the hardest and most costly imposed on a major economy.
Bluszcz and Valente investigated the economic penalties implemented by the EU on Russia following the annexation of Crimea in 2014 and the Donbas war. They observed that the penalties had negative consequences for both Russia and the EU given the indirect impact and interdependence of trading and cooperative political relations (Bluszcz, Valente 2019). The currently in effect charges against Russia seem to be unparalleled in terms of speed, depth, and worldwide cooperation, and the consequences for the economy have already become visible. The opportunity cost of military expenditure, the humanitarian impact on the economy, and the expense of repairing post-war damage are all crucial elements to consider before the start of the battle. Ukraine's costs are expressed in the growth of public debt, the devaluation of the national currency, the erosion of gold and currency reserves, and the outflow of foreign direct investments, in addition to the drop in GDP. The damages done to international relations may be added to the economic costs. Uncertainty in the national economy (induced by the conflict) decreases confidence among investors and consumers (Rother, Gaelle, Lombardo, Risto, Toffano, Roos, Auclair, Manasseh, 2016) breaks trade networks, and has a detrimental impact on a country's long-term productivity (Jong-A-Pin, 2009), investment attraction, tourism, and tax income.
Ukraine's costs from armed aggression are difficult to quantify because not all of them are monetary in essence. Nonetheless, the purpose of this paper is to estimate Ukraine's actual (direct) and probable damages, as well as the economic consequences of the conflict, and evaluate the likely effects of the Russia-Ukraine conflict on the local and global economies. The issue of handling the economy becomes more pertinent as the war continues.

Materials and methods

For this article, I resorted to researching specialized literature regarding the economic consequences and challenges that Ukraine and the whole world are facing following the aggression of the Russian Federation in 2022. The collection of information was carried out from articles in the international literature that have as their main object to research the situation of Ukraine and the states that suffer as a result of this conflict. Thus, a series of articles referring to the research theme were studied and analyzed, with the respective studies being carried out in the vast majority in Ukraine and Russia. The main objective of this article is to identify the challenges and economic consequences that the crisis triggered in the neighboring country will have. A collection of information was made from several articles that studied the economic situation in Ukraine and possible future scenarios. This research relies on information from the Foreign Monetary Fund, World Bank, and United Nations entities, as well as official information from Ukraine and predictions from Ukrainian and foreign specialists and study groups. But some of the 2022-2023 data utilized in this research remains speculative. This is particularly relevant to the GDP estimate.

Wars constitute a tremendous shock to the economies of the countries involved. Despite some benefits from short-term stimulation and long-term rehabilitation, conflict typically impedes economic progress and degrades prosperity (Goldstein, Mokyr, 2003). A group of economists, political scientists, sociologists, and political researchers examined why wars start, how wars are fought, what occurs after the war ends, and possible alternatives to war. The research focused on wars and revolutions, the trafficking of weaponry in diverse economic and political systems, and post-conflict rehabilitation (Coyne, Mathers, 2011). Researchers studying the economic implications of war all agree that war has a negative influence on the level of GDP produced. They have shown that coups and killings reduce per capita GDP expansion (Barro, 1991). According to Thies and Baum, the overall cost of war contains three components: the opportunity cost of the resources required for participating in war, the loss of life and damage to physical and human capital during the war, and the drop in GDP per capita, assessed during and after the conflict. Each of these studies had one thing in common: they were conducted after the armed conflicts had ended, and the results relied on statistical data analysis.

There is a lot of scientific study going on on the topic of economic security right now. Simply by investigating and assessing the key macroeconomic indicators supplied by the State Statistics Service of Ukraine, the National Bank of Ukraine, the Ministry of Finance of Ukraine, and government officials, the country's level of economic security can be determined. Currently, the issue of economic security is becoming more relevant as a result of several contentious trends and events that update the global crisis circumstances and intensify crisis phenomena within the context of the nation. In relation to this, there is an essential reconsideration of the subject of threat source transformation, with specific emphasis on the topic of enhancing the activity of non-economic variables in the economic arena. The goal of this research essay is to investigate the problems and risks that exist in Ukraine and outside its borders. At this point, the World Economic Crisis has been triggered by non-economic pressures, which raises the question of developing a new notion of economic security that will be designed first and foremost with countermeasures in mind.

Economic impact (COVID-19 pandemic; Russian aggression)

The COVID-19 pandemic, which triggered economic volatility and a worldwide catastrophe in 2020, has become one of the globe's most significant risks. The crisis caused a disruption in the regular and consistent trajectory of development at the macro and local levels. The first round of Russian aggression in 2014–2015 (the incorporation of Crimea and fighting in Donbas), together with additional hostile moves (such as withdrawing a Russia–Ukraine bilateral trade consent on January 1, 2016), dealt a serious blow to the Ukrainian economy and boosted safety dangers to both business and investment activity. However, the global economy encountered fresh challenges in February 2022. Following that, the threat that precipitated the severe crisis was and continues to be a full-blown war between Russia and Ukraine, which will have far-reaching ramifications for the whole world economy. The conflict and its subsequent escalation became prominent problems and risks to global economic security. Around 1 million individuals, mostly young males, were mobilized in July 2022 for the Ukrainian Armed Forces and other military, paramilitary, civil defense, and security organizations. This amount has most likely not changed significantly. The number above have a considerable influence on the economy's labor market, which is characterized by massive imbalances among the demand for labor and labor availability. The onset of the war in Ukraine has profoundly impacted the migration situation in several Central and Eastern European nations.

The very first consequences of the war is a notable decrease in global economic output and an increase in inflation. The chances of a worldwide economic recession are rising and becoming more severe. According to IMF projections, global growth rates will fall by nearly twice as much in 2021. According to the National Bank of Ukraine's monthly macroeconomic and monetary assessment, inflation will rise to 5.7% in major economies (USA, Eurozone) and up to 8.7% in developing countries (Poland, Georgia) ("Щомісячний макроекономічний та монетарний огляд", 2022). The situation is mostly due to weak demand, high global costs for raw materials and commodities, rising inflation, and supply chain disruptions.

In accordance with the Ministry of Economy of Ukraine's estimations, the integral level of economic security in Ukraine declined by 1.1 percentage points in 2020 compared to the indicator in 2019 ("Economic Situation and Macroeconomic Framework", Ministry of Economy of Ukraine). There was a moderate decrease in Ukraine's economy as a result of several variables, such as: Ukraine's lack of participation in value-added production chains in the global economy; a substantial amount of shadow industries and earnings; an important share of the agricultural sector, adequate to ensure food security; and a substantial amount of large-scale manufacturing that performed despite peak periods. But beginning on February 24, 2022, the Ukrainian economy confronted new hurdles and economic security risks. Military actions dealt a severe blow to the state's economic structure at all levels. Under the circumstances of continuing to deal with the ramifications of the COVID-19 epidemic, one of the most serious risks to the rehabilitation of Ukraine's destroyed economic potential is the Russian Federation's armed aggression. A full-fledged war caused an unparalleled drop in the domestic economy. The ongoing dispute drastically diminishes the economy's potential. A major economic challenge faced by Ukrainian exports of agricultural products in 2022 included denial of access to the Azov Sea and several Black Sea ports, as well as the Russian blockade of Odesa. These items included wheat, barley, maize, sunflower seeds, and oil. Signed on July 22, 2022, by Russia, Ukraine, and Turkey, the Black Sea Grain Initiative proposes to establish shipping routes from seaports Pivdennyi, Odesa, and Chernomorsk in order to partially reopen exports ("How much grain is Ukraine exporting and how is it leaving the country?", 2023). It prevented grain exports from reaching their pre-war level of exportability. The situation in Ukraine has caused quick and major logistical challenges in the oil, food, and fertilizer industries, as well as prompt and strong actions from Western countries in the manner of economic penalties against Russia. These factors have resulted in fast global price increases for energy, food, and fertilizer resources.

In accordance with National Bank of Ukraine estimations, physical capital losses from the destruction of companies, homes, and infrastructure totaled 100 billion dollars at the beginning of May 2022, which is equivalent to 50% of GDP in 2021 ("Financial Stability Report", 2022). Furthermore, the losses of human capital due to migration and citizen losses are considerable. In Ukraine, inflation is going to continue to rise. According to the National Bank of Ukraine's Financial Stability Report, the primary contributing variables to driving up inflation are: occupation of territories; damage to capacities and infrastructure; violations of manufacturing and delivery methods; and the occurrence of local and short-term supplies

(fuel, salt) (”Звіт про фінансову стабільність”, 2023). Based on National Bank of Ukraine statistics, the key sectors of state budget spending that ought to receive urgent financing should be: defense, social security, and public order (”Звіт про фінансову стабільність”, 2023). Military authorities, amid other duties, gained the authority to exploit the capabilities and human resources of companies, organizations, and other entities of any sort of ownership for defense purposes.
The logical framework of wartime economics resulted in command management and administrative constraints in a variety of policy sectors. On the other hand, unusual situations pushed authority to allow for greater adaptability and economic autonomy, at least for a while.

Supporters of Ukraine

At this point, both internal and external borrowings have been used to support the state budget. Ukraine is in need of financial assistance from its allies. A strong network of allies backs Ukraine. The United States, the United Kingdom, France, and Canada constitute the top states that support Ukraine. Weapons supply, financial and humanitarian assistance, and charges against Russia are the primary support paths. The top countries that help Ukraine provide direct financial assistance, humanitarian assistance, and military assistance. America is the major provider, particularly when it comes to the field of military assistance. The European Union and its member countries come in second, with a strong presence in terms of finance and humanitarian help. International financial and development organizations (including the IMF, World Bank Group, and European Bank for Reconstruction and Development) give significant financial assistance. The British government provided substantial military assistance. Foreign capital fixes Ukraine's balance of payments, NBU net foreign reserves, UAH exchange rate, domestic pricing stages, public budget, and banking sector liquidity. According to S. Marchenko, Minister of Finance, "how quickly we recover after the war depends on how quickly we can get those resources and funds that will allow us to do so. Ukraine isn't going to be capable of making a comeback on its own; we will incur massive losses that would take decades to recoup" (”Інтерв’ю Міністра фінансів Сергія Марченка виданню Mind”, 2022). It is important to note that actual assistance flows can vary significantly in magnitude and duration from support agreements. They typically arrive later than the official assistance promises and in lesser quantities than those stated. As an example, as of January 15, 2023, just 48% of Ukraine's announced fiscal contribution has been disbursed (Trebesch, Antezza, Bushnell, Frank, Franz, Kharitonov, Kumar, Rebinskaya, Schramm, 2023).

Russia – the most sanctioned country in the world

Since 2014, Russia has been subject to sanctions. Russia became the most sanctioned country in the world on February 24, 2022. From February 24 to the end of April, the number of sanctions against Russia climbed from 2754 to 7374 units. Regardless of whether the conflict has ended now, such limitations will still significantly disrupt the importation of products from Russia into international markets. As the Euro-Atlantic community comes together and China appears to be aligning more tightly with Russia, this dispute—and the responses it has elicited between governments and industry around the globe—has the capacity to prompt significant reconfigurations in markets to which Russia has traditionally been a major supplier: energy, food, and fertilizers. Therefore, Russia's war against Ukraine creates a new security context not just for the European region's economies, but also for the global economy as a whole. As of now, overcoming the crisis and prejudice of the economy's asymmetry, tracking problems and risks that have an influence on the status of the economy, and supporting the construction of a new global concept of economic security it is important to remember that the new concept will be developed in an environment of considerable

ambiguity and that there will be non-economic hazards. Genuine state sovereignty is realized through the development of an efficient and competitive economy. Securing national economic interests necessitates the adoption of a strategic course aimed at achieving long-term economic development and competitiveness, improving economic stability, and, as a result, minimizing susceptibility to external and internal threats. The combined influence of every measure taken is going to be considerably bigger compared to the total impact of the individual components because, in an elaborate production environment, a broad variety of assessments increases the likelihood of one or more of the supply chain variables failing, with cascading effects throughout the entire manufacturing process.
Currently, it is generally accepted that overcoming any degree of crisis is impossible without the unwavering assistance of the international community. As a result, this activity needs to be completed using a thorough strategy based on one's own practical knowledge and foreign practice. It is clear that Ukraine's interests are best served by long-term national growth. Ukraine's integration into the European economic sphere as well as the establishment of equitable economic cooperation with other states are two of the main aspects followed after this Russian aggression began.

Ukraine's economy

According to the country's economics minister, Yulia Svyrydenko, Ukraine's economy shrank by more than 30% in 2022 as a result of Russia's violent invasion, which wrecked infrastructure, harmed enterprises, and disrupted daily life (”Ukraine’s economy shrank by more than 30% in 2022”, 2023). Significantly, the financial system of the nation has been preserved, enabling individuals and companies to keep on making payments. The governmental entity can continue to collect taxes and generate funds for its forces. As price hikes attack individuals from multiple perspectives, the situation in Ukraine may exacerbate the cost-of-living problem. Unexpected rising prices for food, fuel, and other essential goods pose a problem for people worldwide, especially at a moment when authorities are considering reducing spending on social safety nets while increasing spending on defense and national security in Europe. People and their families that are unable to bear increased expenditures will be forced to make difficult decisions.
Yet, the Ukrainian people and enterprises quickly recovered from the shock of the full-fledged conflict. Numerous people who left returned. The Ukrainian economy improved after the war. New economic sectors were established in order to assist Ukraine's military forces. Ukrainians have shown an unrivaled capacity to endure the adversary while also adapting to an entirely novel scenario. The Ukrainian economy and banking system are recovering, and as the economy recovers, it is crucial to review the restrictions implemented during the crisis period, such as administrative restrictions on capital flows, to ensure macroeconomic stability.
Prior to Russia's invasion of Ukraine, forecasts predicted that the worldwide economic expansion in 2022 would be approximately 5% (”Consequences of the War in Ukraine: The Economic Fallout”, 2023). The conflict had the largest economic effect in Europe. The manner in which the postwar rebuilding process takes place will also be dictated by the outcome of the war, particularly how it concludes. Unless the fighting stops, any actions will only be stopgaps—repairs for reestablishing electricity or water supply, humanitarian aid to provide temporary homes, or medical treatment. If the combat finishes, although a still-dangerous frozen dispute results, private investors will remain hesitant until security assurances or recompense for damages are offered. In certain aspects, restoring Ukraine might be more expensive than fighting the ongoing war itself. The nation has already experienced a degree of destruction not witnessed in Europe since World War II, which took Germany and Britain around 20 to 30 years to recover after the end of the war.
Usage decreases, better efficiency, renewable energy sources, LNG, and other pipelines will substantially decrease Europe's reliance on Russian gas. Europe also looks to have

decided never again to be forced to rely on cheaper Russian energy sources. Its days as Russia's primary consumer are numbered. Though Russia is still going to export energy, some experts feel that its standing as an energy giant may dwindle in the coming years (”Energy Expert Daniel Yergin and Russia Expert Angela Stent on the Ukraine War”, 2022). Russian gas exports to Europe are dropping, lowering state income and hurting the monetary flow that underpins Russian political influence. Russia is going to continue to move its exports from Europe to China and India, but at a cheaper cost. Europe will ultimately be proven accurate in the end. Putin seems to have missed that the consumer is always right. Dealing with the impending possibility of Russia cutting down or reducing the flow of gas, which could result in significant economic and internal political ramifications, a variety of European nations chose equivocal, or in some cases, overtly pro-Russian, policy postures. This is the kind of coercive diplomacy that comes with monopolies.

However, Russian influence might also be shown in less obvious ways. According to the Center for the Study of Democracy, Russia's actions in Bulgaria established a clandestine network of economic and political patronage, allowing the Kremlin to exert influence over crucial state institutions (”The Kremlin Playbook”, 2016). Local partners of Russia are not ardent fans of a great Russia; they're just in it because of the financial gain. To undermine Russian oligarchic structures and internal politics, European policy pushes for phasing out Russian gas and decoupling energy from Russia (”Countering the Kremlin Playbook in Europe after Russia’s invasion of Ukraine”, 2022). Russia's principal economic engagement with the West was through the export of oil and gas. Continues to be the world's greatest supplier of wheat and forestry products, as well as an essential provider of strategic minerals such as nickel, cobalt, and platinum. Because of the enormous dangers that are involved, Western corporations are doubtful about moving back to or making investments in Russia, regardless of the result of the ongoing dispute. The end of the war won't prompt Western companies to return to Russia, as the denial of Western technology affects high-tech manufacturing. The scenario may have an influence on Russia's ability to utilize current oil and gas reserves as well as build new ones. Russia's inability to make use of Western financial markets and institutions, such as SWIFT, will have a huge influence on its financial health.

The pandemic has highlighted the risks of just-in-time supply chains, while the Ukraine war has heightened the risks associated with such systems. Globalization remains a viable concept, and the new geopolitical climate will significantly impact business decisions. De-globalization may lead to higher short-term pricing, compounded by inflationary pressures, necessitating a more stringent cost-saving versus risk analysis. The turmoil in Ukraine has increased European defense spending due to fears of China's threat, raising stock prices for major military manufacturers. The present level of risk may be traced back to the instability that followed the collapse of the Soviet Union, the height of the Cold War, and the European scenario in the late 1930s.

As a result of the terrible repercussions of Russian aggression, which worsen the issues of a once communist emerging economy, Ukraine's post-war recovery will be distinct, requiring enormous time, money, and effort. Due to the post-war refugee movement, Ukraine is projected to have labor market shortages and a lasting impact on its investment attraction. Ukraine's officials must be innovative in order to handle these difficulties, but the good news is that the country can come back. The country can use the disadvantages caused by the conflict to raise worker productivity and modernize its economy and state infrastructure. Officials should prioritize low-carbon manufacturing, better energy performance, and the use of information and financial technology to improve government services. The objective is to accomplish structural improvements akin to those seen in other European nations in a very short period of time. The country has effectively weathered the COVID-19 epidemic by deploying high levels of digitization, ensuring that the majority of public services are still available to the population. The Diia site, which covers the vast majority of governmental services, gives over 100 remote access points to papers, processes, and permissions.

Ukraine's potential

The knowledge gained is expected to bolster Ukraine's assets, particularly its strategic location at the crossroads of European-Asian transit links and Scandinavian-Mediterranean commerce connections, allowing it to become a significant participant in global commerce. Regardless of Russian military efforts to eliminate it, the nation has 18 seaports, one of Europe's longest roadways, and the 15th largest railroad system (”Rebuilding Ukraine: how will policy-makers shape the country after the war?”, 2023) . Present situation in Ukraine puts the country at risk of being classified as a 'gray zone' in the world's economic picture, with significant infrastructural deterioration, social problems, and poor prospects. This might also be a deterrent to investors, owing to the possibility of additional hostility. Following the conflict, Ukraine has the potential to solve structural flaws that have lasted throughout the country's 31-year independence, resulting in improved security, resilience, and economic progress. Officials should prioritize rehabilitation planning in order to capitalize on the possibility and strengthen Ukraine's resilience and economic development. As an essential element of economic recovery, Ukraine should emphasize boosting efficiency and upgrading manufacturing to drive economic recovery, alleviate shortages in labor, and strengthen its inclusion in the global economic arena. Ukraine's swift digital transformation has considerably increased the effectiveness of administration, facilitated company operations, and decreased corruption, a major concern, utilizing the country's previous strengths.

Conclusions

The attack by Russia has resulted in significant losses in terms of human as well as material resources, causing Ukraine's economic and social development to be set back by a number of years. In the months to come, the overall toll will rise even more because the conflict is still ongoing and there are no signs that it will finish soon. Ukraine was dependent mostly on its own financial and military resources during the initial phases of the war. As long as the war continues, Ukraine will require substantial, consistent, and consistent financial assistance to ensure fundamental macroeconomic equilibrium and long-term viability as well as the supply of important public utilities by Ukrainian authorities. On the other hand, authorities have to further develop and enhance their wartime financial management by avoiding administrative and command strategies whenever feasible, minimizing regulations, tax, and customs procedures, going back to competitive procurement for public goods, and going on reforms to the market and privatization in situations where they do not jeopardize defense attempts. The global donor community needs to get ready not only to fund after-war rebuilding but additionally to give substantial, solid, and reliable visible financial support during the duration of the conflict and for some time after it ends. Ongoing economic support and post-war rebuilding assistance need to be backed with clearly defined reform requirements that are practical to achieve in wartime circumstances. The European Union and its member nations ought to take the initiative in delivering and organizing financial support. The EU's physical closeness to Ukraine, as well as the prospect of Ukraine's accession to the bloc, justify a position like this. It is in the EU's highest interest to prevent Ukraine's macroeconomic destabilization throughout the conflict and to incentivize Ukrainian authorities to accelerate measures as soon as possible.

Considering the situation in Ukraine is unlikely to be resolved in the near future, its influence on worldwide resource markets is expected to increase, with the possibility of extremely significant 'ripple effects' on social and economic systems throughout the entire world. The Russian takeover has resulted in the establishment of a new Iron Curtain dividing Russia and the rest of Europe, along with a heightened sense of danger in cross-security transactions and investments. The expense of international collaboration could be attributed

to the economic costs. Further investigations ought to delve deeper into the subject by statistically examining the war's economic impacts.
According to the paper's empirical results, Russia's invasion of Ukraine and the COVID outbreak caused a considerable surge in global prices. It is advised that politicians make efforts to avoid wars such as the Ukraine-Russia war because of the cascading consequences on neighboring nations. Negotiation should be the preferred method for conflict solving.

References

1. Barro, R.J. (1991). Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 106(2), 40-44.
2. Bluszcz, J., & Valente, M. (2019). The war in Europe: Economic costs of the Ukrainian conflict. CrossRef.
3. Coyne, C.J., Mathers, R.L. (2011). The Handbook on the Political Economy of War. Northampton, Mass: Edward Elgar. Chubukova, O.Yu. (2014). Economic Cybernetics. Donetsk: YugoVostok.
4. Goldstein, J. & Mokyr, J. (2003). War and Economic History. The Oxford Encyclopedia of Economic History. New York: Oxford University Press.
5. Jong-A-Pin, R. (2009). On the Measurement of Political Instability and Its Impact on Economic Growth. European Journal of Political Economy, 25(1), 15-29.
6, Keynes, J. (1919). The economic consequences of the peace. London: Macmillan.
7. Rother, B., Gaelle, P., Lombardo, D., Risto, H., Toffano, Pr., Roos, E., Auclair, A. & Manasseh, K. (2016). The Economic Impact of Conflicts and the Refugee Crisis in the Middle East and North Africa. Staff Discussion Notes 16/8, International Monetary Fund.
8. Thies, C.F., Baum, C.F. (2020). The Effect of War on Economic Growth. Cato Journal, 40(1), 199-212.
9. Trebesch, C., A. Antezza, K. Bushnell, A. Frank, P. Frank, L Franz, I. Kharitonov, B. Kumar, E. Rebinskaya and S. Schramm (2023) ‘The Ukraine Support Tracker: Which countries help Ukraine and how?‘ Kiel Working Paper 2218, The Kiel Institute for the World Economy.
10. Waiganjo, M., Godinic, D., & Obrenovic, B. (2021). Strategic Planning and Sustainable Innovation during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Literature Review. International Journal of Innovation and Economic Development, 7(5), 52-59.
11.https://www.economicsobservatory.com/rebuilding-ukraine-how-will-policy-makers-shape-the-country-after-the-war.
12.https://www.bridgewater.com/research-and-insights/energy-expert-daniel-yergin-and-russia-expert-angela-stent-on-the-ukraine-war.
13.https://www.csis.org/analysis/kremlin-playbook.
https://csd.bg/fileadmin/user_upload/publications_library/files/2022_09/BRIEF_115_EN_WEB.pdf.
14.https://www.rand.org/blog/2023/03/consequences-of-the-war-in-ukraine-the-economic-fallout.html.
15. https://edition.cnn.com/2023/01/05/business/ukraine-economy/index.html.
16.https://www.ifwkiel.de/fileadmin/Dateiverwaltung/Subject_Dossiers_Topics/Ukraine/Ukraine_Support_Tracker/Ukraine_Support_Tracker.xlsx.
https://mof.gov.ua/uk/news/interviu_ministra_finansiv_sergiia_marchenka_vidanniu_mind_01062022-3464.
17.https://www.bbc.com/news/world-61759692.
https://bank.gov.ua/admin_uploads/article/FSR_2022-H1_eng.pdf?v=4.
https://bank.gov.ua/ua/stability/report.
18.https://bank.gov.ua/admin_uploads/article/MM_2022-07.pdf?v=4.
https://www.me.gov.ua/Documents/List?lang=en-GB&id=a3e13bd2-fab6-4d1a-bbb5-b341b24fdd0c&tag=EconomicSituationAndMacroeconomicFramework.
19.https://www.president.gov.ua/en/news/promova-prezidenta-ukrayini-v-palati-deputativ-lyuksemburgu-75533.
20.https://ratinggroup.ua/en/research/ukraine/pyatyy_obschenacionalnyy_opros_ukraina_v_usloviyah_voyny_18_marta_2022.html.