INDIVIDUAL AND CONTEXTUAL DETERMINANTS OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP: AN INTERNATIONAL COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Hatos Roxana University of Oradea

Hatos Adrian University of Oradea

Abstract

Models of entrepreneurial behavior focus usually on individual level factors yet a great deal of beginning and running a business depends on the economic, social and institutional environment. Our analysis uses data from the 2008 European Social Survey to assess the weight of countrylevel characteristics in explaining individual entrepreneurship measured as self-employment of business ownership. The article starts with a literature review which puts the bases of our hypotheses. The results describe cross country comparisons of entrepreneurship rates, individual and country-level regressions of entrepreneurship. As expected, there are large differences between countries with respect to rates of entrepreneurship. A great part of this variance can be accounted for by the division between the former socialist countries and the rest and by levels of urbanization.

Keywords entrepreneurial behavior, contextual factors, comparative analysis

JEL: A14

Introduction

Models of entrepreneurial behavior focus usually on individual level factors yet a great deal of beginning and running a business depends on the economic, social and institutional environment. Unemployment basically acts as a push factor for self-employment (Audretsch & Thurik, 2006). Based on literature review we expect a positive influence of income differentials on the number of self-employed (Evans & Leighton, 1990).Most studies investigating the role of motivational determinants of the choice for self employment pertain to the *individual level*. Urban areas will give rise to economies of scale through which small-sized entrepreneurship in retailing comes under pressure (Noorderhaven, Thurik, Wennekers, & Van Stel, 2004; Wildeman et al., 1999).We expect life-dissatisfaction to be positively related with job-dissatisfaction and thus with self-employment. The role of post-materialism in explaining differences in self-employment between countries is dealt with in Uhlaner *et al.* (2002).

Data

We have used the data base of the 2008 European Social Survey which includes answers from 54988 subjects selected using a stratified probabilistic procedures from the adult populations of 28 countries. The data were weighted in order to adjust the data base to the size of each country's population. The weighted data base contains 58456 cases.

Variables

The dependent concept in the study is entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship was defined as business ownership or self-employment. As such, we have defined as entrepreneurs those individuals who, in the European Social Survey indicated their occupational status as being self-employed or working in family business. According to this definition, 9,9% of the sample qualify as entrepreneurs.

Individual-level variables

Variable name	Description	Measurement	Univariate statistics
age	Age of respondend	Numeric	Avg=47,1
			Stdev=18,6
edu_square	Squared of Years of	Numeric	Avg=158,3
	full-time education		Stdev=101,7
	completed		
male	Gender	Dichotomy (1=male)	44,5%
rural	Residence	Dichotomy (1=rural)	31,6%
father_empl	Father self-employed	Dichotomy (1=self	16,3%
	at 14	employed when the	
		subject was 14)	
mother_self	Mother self-employed	Dichotomy (1=self	6,0%
	at 14	employed when the	
		subject was 14)	
father_sec_ed	1=father with	Dichotomy (1=father	41,9%
	secondary education	with secondary	
		education)	
mother_sec_ed	1=mother with	Dichotomy (1=mother	43,4%
	secondary education	with secondary	
		education)	

Contextual variables

Inspired by the literature we have modeled individual entrepreneurship using severa features of the countries in the data base:

Variable	Description and source	Measure	Univar
name		ment	iate
			statisti
		D'1 (CS
Frmcomm	Country former communist or not	Dichoto	12
unist		my	(42%)
		(1=forme	
		r	
		communi	
Unempl20	Percent unemployed in 2006 according to labor force surveys	st) Numeric	Danga
06	ILO	INUITIETTE	Range: 3,4-
00			3,4- 13,5
Unempl20	ercent unemployed in 2007 according to labor force surveys	Numeric	Range:
07	ILO		2,5-11
GDPcapita	Gross Domestic Product per capita in 2008, from the CIA	Numeric	Range:
	Factbook (for Cyprus we have used 2009 estimates)		7271-
			58141
Urban	Percent of population living in cities, data from the CIA	Numeric	Range:
	Factbook		48-97
SWL2006	Satisfaction with life index, data from	Numeric	Range:
	http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Satisfaction_with_Life_Index#In		120-
	ternational_Rankings_2006		273

Materialist	Percent materialist in the population, according to WVS of 1998-1999, except for Cyprus where estimates from 2006		Range: 6,3-
	were used.		52,1
Post_mater	Percent materialist in the population, according to WVS of	Numeric	Range:
ialist	1998-1999, except for Cyprus where estimates from 2006		1,7-
	were used.		22,7

						ur			
	selfem	Frmcom	ampune	unemp	GDPc	ba	SWL	Mater	Post_mat
	ployed	munist	mpl2006	12007	apita	n	2006	ialist	erialist
	<u>r</u> J		1		34.49		243.3		
Belgium	11.36	0	8.3	7.5	3	97	3	22.2	20.3
U					12.39		143.3		
Bulgaria	7.53	1	9	6.9	4	71	3	48.7	3.2
					19.08		196.6		
Coatia	7.48	1	11.1	9.6	5	57	7	15.4	19.2
Cyprus	17.61	0	4.5	3.9	21.2	70	230	37.5	10.5
Czech					24.71		213.3		
Republic	9.61	1	7.1	5.3	2	73	3	25.3	9.8
					36.60				
Denmark	9.94	0	4.1	4	4	87	273.4	8.5	16.1
					20.65				
Estonia	7.41	1	5.9	4.7	7	69	170	41.2	2.6
					35.42		256.6		
Finland	14.53	0	7.7	6.8	6	63	7	26	10.7
					34.04				
France	9.17	0	8.8	8	5	77	220	27.9	17.9
~					35.61				
Germany	12.1	0	10.3	8.6	3	74	240	30.1	15.1
	20.04	0	0.0	0.1	29.36	61	210	10.0	16.7
Greece	28.04	0	8.8	8.1	1	61	210	18.9	16.7
TT	())	1	7.5	7.4	19.32	(0)	100	50.5	2.4
Hungary	6.22	1	7.5	7.4	9	68	190	50.5	2.4
Tana al	10.17	0	0.4	7.2	27.54	02	223.3	12.0	10.6
Israel	12.17	0	8.4	7.3	8 17.10	92	3	13.8	12.6
Latvia	5.56	1	6.8	6	17.10	68	156.6 7	34.9	4.8
Netherland	5.50	1	0.0	0	1	00	7	34.9	4.0
s	12.37	0	4.2	3.5	40.85	82	250	11.8	22
3	12.37	0	7.2	5.5	58.14	02	246.6	11.0	
Norway	10.07	0	3.4	2.5	1	77	7	13.6	11.2
ittiway	10.07		5.7	2.5	17.62	,,	196.6	15.0	11,4
Poland	16.06	1	13.8	9.6	5	61	7	36.9	7.6
2 014114	10.00		10.0	2.0	22.08		203.3		710
Portugal	12.63	0	7.7	8	1	59	3	35.6	10
		-			14.06		173.3	20.0	
Romania	4.33	1	7.3	6.4	4	54	3	45.2	7.1
Russian					16.13		143.3		
Federation	4.74	1	7.2	6.1	9	73	3	52.1	1.7

					22.08				
Slovakia	7.46	1	13.3	11	1	56	180	45.9	4
Slovenia	9.56	1	5.9	4.6	27.61	48	220	16.8	16
					31.95		233.3		
Spain	15.88	0	8.5	8.3	5	77	3	27.1	15
					37.38		256.6		
Sweden	10.6	0	5.4	6.1	3	85	7	6.3	22.4
Switzerlan					42.53		273.3		
d	12.92	0	4	3.6	4	73	3	14.4	18.3
							176.6		
Turkey	8.9	0	9.9	10.3	13.92	69	7	19.3	20.9
Ukraine	6.5	1	6.8	6.4	7.271	68	120	45.6	3.5
United					35.44		236.6		
Kingdom	12.46	0	5.4	5.3	5	90	7	15.3	22

Bibliography

- 1. Audretsch, D., & Thurik, R. (2006). A model of the entrepreneurial economy. Entrepreneurship and economic growth, 32.
- 2. Evans, D. S., & Leighton, L. S. (1990). Small business formation by unemployed and employed workers. Small Business Economics, 2(4), 319-330.
- 3. Noorderhaven, N., Thurik, R., Wennekers, S., & Van Stel, A. (2004). The Role of Dissatisfaction and per Capita Income in Explaining Self-Employment across 15 European Countries. Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice, 28(5), 447-467.
- 4. Uhlaner, L. M., Thurik, A. R., & Hutjes, J. (2002). Post-materialism as a cultural factor influencing entrepreneurial activity across nations: Erasmus Research Institute of Management.
- 5. Wildeman, R. E., Hofstede, G., Noorderhaven, N. G., Thurik, A. R., Verhoeven, W. H. J., & Wennekers, A. R. M. (1999). Self-employment in 23 OECD countries. The role of cultural and economic factors, Research Report, 9811.