



## DOUBLE-BLIND PEER REVIEW FORM

Thank you for agreeing to a reviewer. We are keen to ensure a high standard of papers submitted for being published in the Journal titled **“Analele Universității din Oradea. Științe Economice / The Annals of the University of Oradea. Economic Sciences” (AUOES)**, ISSN-1582-5450 (electronic format, CD-ROM and on-line), ISSN – 122569X (print format), Editura Universității din Oradea / Oradea University Press, and the paper that is being sent to you has been submitted after a first selection process made by the Editorial Board based on this author/s abstract and full paper submission. In general the standard of papers forwarded to us after the vetting of abstracts and full papers is good, but occasionally some weaker papers are also accepted for double-blind peer review. We are eager to help authors who may not yet have achieved a suitable skill in writing academic papers to the necessary quality. To this end we would be grateful if you would, wherever possible, provide constructive advice as to how they can make the paper more acceptable for publishing in a high quality academic and research journal as *AUOES* would like to claim to have become.

Please complete the table below and rate the paper on the issues described. As with all double-blind peer reviewing any comments you make will be passed to the authors on an anonymous basis.

**As we strive to feedback comments to authors within 1 week of their paper submission please try to complete the review within that time.**

Reviewer reference	AUOES.2014.....
Paper Title	.....
Paper type as it was submitted by the author(s)	Academic research
Review Due Date	<b>4<sup>th</sup> of May 2014</b> (sooner if possible)

### AREA OF THE PAPER:

*(check with an X only one place, please)*

	Area	Other details about the content of the paper/manuscript/work
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accounting	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Audit	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Banking	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Business Administration	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Corporate Finances	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Economic Informatics and Cybernetics	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Economic Statistics	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Economics	



## DOUBLE-BLIND PEER REVIEW FORM

Area	Other details about the content of the paper/manuscript/work
EU Sustainable Economic Development and Competitiveness / International Economics and European Integration	
Foreign Languages and the Business Environment	
International Business	
Management	
Marketing	
Public Finances	
Tourism	
other (specify): .....	

### PAPER TYPE:

<i>(check with an X only one type from those mentioned below, please; on the other columns, X multi-check is allowed on one – the same - row only)</i>		Business cases / real applications	New models/ tools/ techniques	Literature review	Other (specify): .....
<b>X</b>	<b>Academic research</b>				
	<b>Post-doctoral research</b>				
	<b>PhD research</b>				
	<b>Case study</b>				
	<b>Work-in-progress</b>				

### PAPER/MANUSCRIPT/WORK PROFILE:

Please rate the following by checking an X in the boxes that, in your opinion, best describe the following features of the paper: (5 excellent, 1 poor)		5	4	3	2	1	N/A
1	Relevance to the themes of the AUOES						
2	Contribution to academic debate						
3	Structure of the paper						
4	Standard of English – please indicate below if you think the paper needs proof-reading						
5	Appropriateness of the research/study method						

Thank you for your help!  
Please return this form to [EINCO.Conference@gmail.com](mailto:EINCO.Conference@gmail.com)



## DOUBLE-BLIND PEER REVIEW FORM

Please rate the following by checking an X in the boxes that, in your opinion, best describe the following features of the paper: (5 excellent, 1 poor)		5	4	3	2	1	N/A
6	Relevance and clarity of text, drawings, graphs and tables						
7	Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper						
8	Appropriateness and number of keywords/key phrases						
9	Originality of the work						
10	Acknowledgement of the others work by proper references						
11	Discussion and conclusions						
12	Relevance of the research results to the scientific development in the paper's area						
13	Reference list, adequate and correctly cited						
14	Acknowledgement of the JEL codes indicated in the paper						
<b>Taking into consideration the paper submitted to AUOES (see above), please give an overall rating out of 10 for this paper in terms of its contribution to the AUOES goals.</b>							

### REVIEWER COMMENTS:

To assist the author/s in revising this paper, please report in the followings the specific reviewer comments to be passed to the author/s. *Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.*



## DOUBLE-BLIND PEER REVIEW FORM

To assist the author/s in revising this paper, please report in the followings the specific reviewer comments to be passed to the author/s. *Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.*

### REVIEWER RECOMMENDATION:

Can this paper be accepted for being presented at the *EINCO Conference* and for being published in the *AUOES*?  
(check only one place, please)

- YES – no changes required
- YES - with minor revisions
- YES - with major revisions
- NOT ACCEPTABLE AT ALL


PLEASE COMPLETE THIS REVIEW FORM AND KEEP A COPY FOR YOUR RECORDS  
PLEASE, DO RETURN THIS FORM VIA E-MAIL, **BOTH** AS AN FILLED OUT AND EDITABLE MS OFFICE  
WORD DOCUMENT, NOT FILED OUT AND/OR SIGNED BY YOU BELOW IN ORDER TO BE  
ANNOUNIMOUSLY SENT TO THE AUTHOR/S, **AND AS WELL AS SCANNED PDF DOCUMENT** FILLED  
OUT BELOW WITH YOUR DATA AND CARRYING OUT YOUR SIGNATURE!

### REVIEWER CONTACT DATA:

Full name: .....  
Affiliation: .....  
E-mail: .....  
Phone: .....  
  
Signature: .....